IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

CERDELL ANTON BENNETT,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:20-cv-319-JDK-JDL
	§	
TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF	§	
CRIMINAL JUSTICE,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Cerdell Bennett, a Texas Department of Criminal Justice inmate, proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On February 3, 2021, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's civil rights action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Docket No. 20. A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff at the address he provided. However, to date, no objections to the Report have been filed.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Plaintiff did not object in the

prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for

clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews the legal conclusions to determine

whether they are contrary to law. See United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221

(5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a

Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous,

abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 20) as the findings of this Court. It is

therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to

state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 14th day of March, 2021.

EREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE